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## Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study
$T=292 \mathrm{~K}$
Mean $\sigma(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C})=0.002 \AA$
$R$ factor $=0.061$
$w R$ factor $=0.164$
Data-to-parameter ratio $=17.3$
For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

[^0]
## 1-(4-tert-Butylphenyl)-3-hydroxy-3-(2-naphthyl)-prop-2-en-1-one

The crystal structure of the title compound, $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, which exists in the enol form, is stabilized by an intramolecular hydrogen bond. The dihedral angle between the naphthyl ring system and the aromatic ring of the $t-\mathrm{BuC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ group is $23.50(10)^{\circ}$.

## Comment

1,3-Diketones have long been widely employed as effective chelating reagents for a large number of metallic systems (Melby et al., 1964; Liang et al., 2003). Molecular crystals of 1,3-diketones are found to comprise enol tautomers stabilized by a strong intramolecular hydrogen bond (Vila et al., 1991). The structure of the title 1,3-diketone derivative, (I), is presented here (Fig. 1).
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(I)

The geometric data (Table 1) are in agreement with reported literature values (Bertolasi et al., 1991; Gilli et al., 2004). The dihedral angle between the naphthyl ring system and the aromatic ring of the $t-\mathrm{BuC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ group is $23.50(10)^{\circ}$. The enol form found in (I) is stabilized by an intramolecular hydrogen bond; details are presented in Table 2.

## Experimental

2-Acetylnaphthalene $(6.80 \mathrm{~g}, 0.04 \mathrm{~mol})$, methyl 4-tert-butylbenzoate $(7.68 \mathrm{~g}, 0.04 \mathrm{~mol}), \mathrm{NaNH}_{2}(1.95 \mathrm{~g}, 0.05 \mathrm{~mol})$ and toluene $(50 \mathrm{ml})$ were placed in a three-necked, round-bottom flask. The mixture was heated with stirring to 353 K and maintained there for 6 h under a nitrogen atmosphere. The reaction mixture was then cooled to room temperature and acidified with dilute hydrochloric acid; stirring was continued until all solids had dissolved. The toluene layer was separated and washed with a saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and the solvent removed by evaporation. The residual oil solidified on standing and was recrystallized from an ethanol solution to give the title compound (I) (yield $8.58 \mathrm{~g}, 65.0 \%$; m.p. 395 K ). Crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction were grown by slow evaporation of a $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}-\mathrm{EtOH}(2: 1)$ solution at room
temperature. Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C $83.64, \mathrm{H} 6.67 \%$; found: C 83.70, H 6.69\%. IR ( $\mathrm{KBr}, v \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ): $1598(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 1525(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}), 2961$ (C-H, alkyl).

## Crystal data

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{2} \\
& M_{r}=330.41 \\
& \text { Monoclinic, } C 2 / c \\
& a=32.490(4) \AA \\
& b=6.2231(8) \AA \\
& c=22.533(3) \AA \\
& \beta=128.605(2) \AA \\
& V=3560.2(8) \AA^{\circ}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
Z=8
$$

$$
D_{x}=1.233 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{~m}^{-3}
$$

Mo $K \alpha$ radiation
$\mu=0.08 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
$T=292$ (2) K
Block, colorless
$0.30 \times 0.20 \times 0.20 \mathrm{~mm}$

## Data collection

Bruker SMART CCD area-detector
$\quad$ diffractometer
$\varphi$ and $\omega$ scans
Absorption correction: multi-scan
$\quad(S A D A B S ;$ Sheldrick, 1996)
$\quad T_{\min }=0.977, T_{\max }=0.982$

## Refinement

Refinement on $F^{2}$
$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.061$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.164$
$S=1.02$
4055 reflections
234 parameters

H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement
$w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}\right)+(0.0858 P)^{2}\right]$
where $P=\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}+2 F_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{2}\right) / 3$
$(\Delta / \sigma)_{\max }<0.001$ 。
$\Delta \rho_{\text {max }}=0.22 \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{A}}{ }^{-3}$
$\Delta \rho_{\min }=-0.25 \mathrm{e}^{-3}$

Table 1
Selected geometric parameters ( $\left(\AA^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}\right.$ ).

| O1-C11 | $1.2881(19)$ | $\mathrm{C} 11-\mathrm{C} 12$ | $1.397(2)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| $\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{C} 13$ | $1.2908(18)$ | $\mathrm{C} 12-\mathrm{C} 13$ | $1.393(2)$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{C} 11-\mathrm{C} 12$ | $119.92(14)$ | $\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{C} 13-\mathrm{C} 12$ | $120.04(15)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 11-\mathrm{C} 12-\mathrm{C} 13$ | $120.70(14)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{C} 11-\mathrm{C} 12-\mathrm{C} 13$ | $4.6(2)$ | $\mathrm{C} 11-\mathrm{C} 12-\mathrm{C} 13-\mathrm{O} 2$ | $-5.6(2)$ |

Table 2
Hydrogen-bond geometry ( $\mathrm{A}^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}$ ).

| $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{H} 1 \cdots \mathrm{O} 1$ | $1.14(3)$ | $1.36(3)$ | $2.4441(17)$ | $156(2)$ |

The C -bound H atoms were included in the riding-model approximation, with $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}=0.93-0.97 \AA$ and $U_{\text {iso }}(\mathrm{H})=1.2$ ( 1.5 for


Figure 1
View of (I), showing the atom-labeling scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the $50 \%$ probability level. The dashed line indicates a hydrogen bond.
methyl) times $U_{\text {eq }}(\mathrm{C})$. The O-bound H atom was refined freely; see Table 2 for geometrical data.

Data collection: SMART (Bruker, 1997); cell refinement: SAINT (Bruker, 1999); data reduction: SAINT; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: SHELXTL (Bruker, 2001); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL.
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